## Appendix A - SWT MEMBER WORKING GROUP SCOPING DOCUMENT

| NAME OF WORKING<br>GROUP:  | Street trading policy review  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| CHAIR:   | TBC   |  |  |
| MEMBERS:   | TBC   |  |  |
| LEAD OFFICERS:   | <ul> <li>John Rendell, Licensing Manager</li> <li>Craig Stone, Senior Economic         Development Officer - Town Centre         Resilience and Transformation Lead     </li> </ul>   |  |  |
| SUBJECT TO BE<br>REVIEWED:   | Street Trading policy   |  |  |
| REASON(S) FOR THE REVIEW:  | To introduce a single policy for the licensing of street trading in SWT and clarify the Council's approach.   |  |  |
| IDENTIFY APPROPRIATE CORPORATE AIMS:   | The regulation of street trading is a statutory duty but this work also supports the following aim:  Our Environment and Economy - Support the town centres throughout the District to meet the challenge of changing shopping habits   |  |  |
| TERMS OF REFERENCE:  | <ul> <li>This Working Group will:</li> <li>Consider, discuss and prepare a street trading policy for consultation.</li> <li>Carry out a consultation.</li> <li>Make recommendations to the Licensing Committee in relation to the adoption of the policy</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| SCOPE, AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF REVIEW: (Remember to consider what is NOT to be included in the review): | <ul> <li>Review existing procedures and processes for street trading and anything new which it is proposed be adopted.</li> <li>Ensure street trading licensing arrangements are robust and fit for purpose.</li> <li>Work with the Licensing Manager to finalise a draft street trading policy.</li> <li>Agree the scope of the consultation on the draft policy.</li> </ul> |  |  |

|  | <ul> <li>Consider any responses to the consultation and make any necessary amendments.</li> <li>Agree a policy which can then be taken to the Licensing Committee with a view to being adopted.</li> </ul>   |  |
|--|--|--|
| METHOD(S) OF REVIEW (HOW WILL THE REVIEW BE CONDUCTED?):   | <ul> <li>A recap of how the licensing of street trading works and the importance of striking the right balance.</li> <li>To review lessons learnt from recent cases and service complaints.</li> <li>Discuss examples of good practice.</li> <li>Introduction to proposed street trading policy.</li> <li>Agree details of consultation</li> <li>Consider the responses to the consultation.</li> <li>To discuss and agree amendments (if any) to the proposed policy.</li> <li>Agree draft of policy.</li> <li>Agree recommendations to Licensing Committee.</li> </ul> |  |
| BENEFITS TO THE COUNCIL<br>AND LOCAL COMMUNITY:<br>KEY ISSUES AND RISKS:   | <ul> <li>Clarity over Council's policy, processes and procedures.</li> <li>Strengthen decision making.</li> <li>A document which will support and guide customers, officers and members.</li> <li>Lead the way on the formation of street trading policy for the new unitary authority.</li> </ul>   |  |
| IMPLICATIONS: (Financial and Legal implications particularly will need to be considered and signed off by the relevant officer)  | The final report taken to the Licensing Committee to have the policy adopted will be subject to the usual sign-off from Legal.   |  |
| DOCUMENTARY RESEARCH<br>AND EVIDENCE: E.g. Is there<br>any National or local<br>guidance or research into<br>this subject? Is there any<br>best practice guidance<br>available?) | There is no national, statutory or non-statutory guidance in relation to the control of street trading.  Policies adopted by Mendip, South Somerset, Bristol and Birmingham Councils were used as points of reference when drafting this policy.   |  |
| RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS OF REVIEW: E.g. implications on officer  | This piece of work is being delivered by the Licensing Manager. There are no direct budget implications.   |  |

| resource or impact on the Council's budget.  |     |
|--|-----|
| EXTERNAL ADVISORS: Does the Working Group wish to invite any involvement from external advisors? | TBC |

| Timescales       | Estimated | Revised (include | Actual |
|------------------|-----------|------------------|--------|
|                  |           | reasons)         |        |
| First meeting of |           |                  |        |
| Working Group    |           |                  |        |
| Milestone 1:     |           |                  |        |
| Milestone 2:     |           |                  |        |
| Milestone 3:     |           |                  |        |
| Draft Report:    |           |                  |        |
| Report:          |           |                  |        |

|                             |                               |  | reasons) |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                             | First meeting of              |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Working Group                 |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Milestone 1:                  |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Milestone 2:                  |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Milestone 3:                  |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Draft Report:                 |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | Report:                       |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | PROJECT OUTCOMES CONCLUSIONS: |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS:            |                               |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXECUTIVE CONSIDERED:       |                               |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| OUTCOME : FOLLOW UP:        |                               |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVIEW OF PROCESS/COMMENTS: |                               |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | SIGNED OFF BY CHAIR:          |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |

DATE: